TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD

RECOMMENDATION SHEET

The following items have been reviewed by the Technical Development Board and are recommended for approval:

| a. Ur | nconv | entional Ima | gery Exploit | ation Pro | ogram - | | | - |
|--------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|
| APPROV | ÆD: | | This | progr | am wa | s apper | ved by 2 | y Marci |
| ٠, | | Executive D | irector, NPI | C | The second second | DATE | | • |
| b. Zo | oom T | ube Magnifie | c (Change in | Scope) - | Bausch | & Lomb In | - \$6 | ,500 |
| APPROV | ED: | Executive Di | rector, NPI | . | I | ATE | | |

25X1

The tealment proposal and cost proposal are in borlet from on proposal are in borlet from on file in P & DS

6

ATTENTION: TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD MEMBERS

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE ATTACHED PAPERS
IS NOT TO BE RELEASED OR REVEALED TO ANY CONTRACTOR UNTIL
AFTER THE CONTRACT INVOLVED HAS BEEN LET BY THE OFFICE OF
LOGISTICS. IT IS ESPECIALLY IMPORTANT THAT THE IDENTITY
OF THE SUCCESSFUL CONTRACTOR NOT BE DIVULGED IN ANY WAY
WHICH COULD LEAD TO ITS BECOMING KNOWN BY OTHER
CONTRACTORS OR POTENTIAL CONTRACTORS.

NPIC/TDB-M-10-66 7 March 1966

TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD MINUTES OF 2 MARCH 1966

| Members Present: | |
|------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Observers : | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| • | |

1. Announcements

a. Approval of Minutes

The Chairman opened the meeting and announced that the minutes of the 4 February 1966 meeting were noted and recommended items approved by the Executive Director on 8 February 1966.

b. Proposals

The Chairman noted that 6 new proposals had been received since the last meeting and were available in the PDS for anyone interested in reading them. The Chairman also noted that 23 proposals had been rejected because other proposals were selected.

SECRET

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/04/26: CIA-RDP78B04747A000700040011-9

ixeluded from automia downgroding and doctassinselica 25X1

| c. Interim Actions | |
|---|------------------------------|
| (1) The Chairman announced to the members that executive approval has been secured on the overrun for Automated Stereo Viewing Study for FY-65 in the amount This action was taken because the overrun costs were less than twenty per cent of the original contract price. The total contract price | 25X1 |
| | _0,(|
| (2) The Chairman announced to the members that executive approval has been secured for the cost adjustment on Project Information Flow Analysis for FY-66 in the amount adjustment in labor rates at increases the total amount of the contract for FY-66 | 25X1 25X1 25X1 25X1 |
| The Chairman announced that would present a few | 25X1 |
| explanatory remarks to the Board on FY-66 allocations for R&D, then | ļ |
| would describe the P&DS distribution of allocated funds. | 25 X 1 |
| began by commenting on a list that the Chairman had given him showing 9 major changes in the NPIC funding allocation for R&D since April 1965. The latest change reduces the overall amount | 25 X 1 |
| available for FY-66 He explained that this figure | 25X 1 |
| could be subjected to further change before June. In December, FDS responded to instructions to request an additional for completion of its '66 program; however, time has now run out. Both FDS and the Office of Logistics would be hard pressed to obligate this much money in conjunction with the actions already programmed but | 25X1 |
| delayed. The request for a supplemental 1966 allocation of has therefore been withdrawn. | 25X1 |
| explained that the fault did not lie within PDS but they must deal with the problem. Part of the problem lies in the approval and justification process. He then explained how this works and recommended that hereafter a chart or information sheet accompany the budget submission identifying each project; in this way, the DDI could relate the individual project submissions to the overall program when project approval is requested. | 25X1 |
| asked if the new budget cycle would help in | 25X1 |
| alleviating such problems. said he hoped it would, but honestly didn't know. | 25X1 |
| The Chairman stated that, in spite of the funding allocation reduction, the TDB would continue to review project recommendations. said by all means, the Board should continue to process as many projects as possible. Those programs and projects beyond the | 25X1 |

SECRET

availability of funds".

current allocation should be prioritized and flagged "subject to

| reminded members that the ori prepared for program but was redu submission. The budget allocation currently submission. He then reviewed for the information distribution of these funds. | ced to tands at | hefore for | 25X1 25X1 25X1 |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| FY-66 R&D BUDGET | -28 February 19 |)66 | : |
| Obligated | | | 25X1 |
| Fiber Optic Viewer | | | |
| Plotter Marking System | | | |
| Zoom Tube Mag. | | | |
| Human Factors Research Program | | | The Control of the Co |
| Automatic Stereo Scan. Program | | | e de la companya de l |
| Image Analysis Program | | , | bi - v den |
| Automatic Target Recognition Program | | | |
| Unconventional Imagery Sys. Program (-92,120.) | | | |
| Electro-Color Techniques | | | |
| TOTAL | | | |
| He emphasized that NPIC is solidly committed February, and the Board has since then approved 2. New Business | | s of ns for | 25X1 25X1 |
| a. Unconventional Imagery Exploitation P. | rogram = | | 25X1 |
| The Chairman pointed out that this is on which bidder's conferences were held. recommendation with briefing boards. He explainance program concerned with sensor types, other photography. | s one of the 6 presented ined that this | the is a long- | 25X1 |
| | | There | 25X1 25X1 |
| are many unknown factors which must be examined for NPIC purposes, such as training requirement requirements and target signatures. In addition transmission) keeps looming in the background. PDS has received 11 proposals on this program. least 4 independent evaluations and all evaluations. | ts, optimum equon, data link (exp | ipment direct image lained that | 25X1 25X |
| SECRET 3. Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/04/26 : CIA-RDF | °78B04747A0007(| 00040011-9 | |

| for program organization. Subcontractors are to include consultants are included from the University | 25× 25× 25× |
|---|-------------------|
| | |
| suggested that a better title for the program would be "Advanced Systems Imagery" instead of "Unconventional Imagery". The | 25 X |
| discussion on this suggestion seemed to indicate that a majority of the Board agreed. The Chairman instructed to give this serious consideration. | 25 X |
| | 25X |
| asked if PDS could have had 5 different contracts for | |
| this program instead of one? He asked for an explanation of similarities | |
| between the advanced systems included in the program. | 25 X |
| explained that Conductron's capabilities were strong in electronics and that most of the requirements are related to sensors generating imagery | |
| through electronic signals. The Board agreed to recommend this item for | |
| approval. | |
| | |
| As a sidelight, Mr. Brugioni mentioned that some new night photo- | |
| graphy was in the building and wondered if the Board Members were aware | |
| of this fact. Little interest was generated by the announcement. | |
| b. Zoom Tube Magnifier (Change in Scope) - | 25 |
| Cope / | |
| The Chairman announced that would present this | 25) |
| recommendation to the Board. He explained that the first experimental | |
| Zoom Tube Magnifier produced did not meet NPIC | 25) |
| requirements in optical characteristics. The design was critically reviewed by who had several suggestions for improvements. This | ٥٢١ |
| the solution by the first solution for miprovements. In | Ls 25) |
| change of scope reflects those recommendations. The lst engineering prototype was passed around to show the results to date. | 25) |
| explained that this prototype bears a close outward resemblance | 25/ |
| to the model being proposed. explained that this task is | 25) |
| difficult because what they are really trying to do is nut microscope | |
| optics into a tube magnifier. The new design will increase the field | |
| of view and decrease the distortion. The NPIC development cost for this | |
| magnifier in addition to this cost B&L expects to put about | 25) |
| of company money into the work. Delivery is expected about | 25) |
| April 1966 and the unit price for production models is expected to be | 25) |
| In answer to the Chairman's question on joint explained that he expected joint | 207 |
| explained that he expected joint procurement interest to be high once a successful prototype is | 2 |
| delivered and tested. He based this judgment on the fact that nearly | |
| 300 units of the Tropel 13X Tube Magnifier are being procured jointly | |
| at present. The Board recommended this change of scope for approval | |
| without further comment. | |



| c. Demonstration of Trailer | Mounted PI Equipment - | 25) |
|---|---|-------------|
| stating that | he had received a letter from he will be in town the week of | 25) 25) |
| area. asked the Board is seeing this demonstration, if so, | | 25) |
| | greed that this was of sufficient d with arrangements. | 25 |
| d. Explanation of Color Pro | cessors Being Procured by PSD | |
| Society to see a color processor i | a visit to the National Geographic made by Rollar Corporation (the best sing equipment). The equipment cost | ` 25ì |
| it was learned that a German compa processor of superior design. The | pare a site for it. During the visit, any was manufacturing a drum-type is machine is self-contained, | 25. |
| high, and installed. talked with logistics and would leader and one for transparencies. | | 25 |
| | · | 25 X |
| | | |
| | Executive Secretary | |
| | | |
| NOTED: | | |
| Transition Discotor NDTO | Tr A (1717) | |



TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD

RECOMMENDATION SHEET

| The following items have been reviewed by the Technical Development Board and are recommended for approval: | |
|---|------------|
| a. Unconventional Imagery Exploitation Program - | 25X 25X |
| APPROVED: Executive Director, NPIC DATE | |
| b. Zoom Tube Magnifier (Change in Scope) | 25X1 |
| APPROVED: Executive Director, NPIC DATE | • |